

On algebro-geometric Poisson brackets for the Volterra lattice

A. P. Veselov*, A. V. Penskoï†

Abstract

A generalization of the theory of algebro-geometric Poisson brackets on the space of finite-gap Schrödinger operators, developed by S. P. Novikov and A. P. Veselov, to the case of periodic zero-diagonal difference operators of second order is proposed. A necessary and sufficient condition for such a bracket to be compatible with higher Volterra flows is found.

AMS MSC 34G20, 34L40

In the theory of integrable systems there exists a remarkable phenomenon: variables, natural from the point of view of spectral theory and the algebraic geometry, have “nice” symplectic properties. H. Flaschka and D. McLaughlin [1] seem to be the first to recognize this in the examples of the KdV equation and the Toda lattice. An attempt to formulate this phenomenon in a mathematically correct form led S. P. Novikov and one of the authors (see [2],[3]) to the notion of algebro-geometric Poisson bracket on the total space of the bundle of hyperelliptic curves (or the space of finite-gap Schrödinger potentials).

The aim of this work is to generalize the result of [2] to the case of periodic difference second order operators of the form

$$(L\psi)_k = a_{k+1}\psi_{k+1} + a_k\psi_{k-1}. \quad (1)$$

These operators are closely connected with the theory of the Volterra lattice

$$\dot{c}_k = c_k(c_{k+1} - c_{k-1}), \quad c_k = a_k^2, \quad (2)$$

also known as “the discrete KdV equation” (see [4], [5]).

Interest in such a generalization was brought about, in particular, by the fact that the corresponding spectral curves have an additional symmetry. As a result, the quantity of the poles of the eigenfunction (a discrete version of the Baker-Akhiezer function) is twice as the quantity of angle variables. Therefore, the general recipe, proposed for the first time apparently by E. K. Sklyanin [6], which offers the coordinates of these

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK. e-mail: A.P.Veselov@lboro.ac.uk

†Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Department of Higher Geometry and Topology, Moscow State University, Vorob’iev Gory, Moscow, 119899, Russia. e-mail: penskoï@mech.math.msu.su

poles as coordinates of separation of variables, does not apply (at least literally).

In our case one can choose (in many ways) exactly half of the poles; in these coordinates the canonical 1-form has the “separated” form.

Note that in analogous though much more complicated case of the Kowalewski top [7], where the spectral curve also has a symmetry, the similar question is not answered yet as far as the authors know.

Recall primary facts on spectral properties of periodic difference operators (1) (see [8], [9]) with, in general, complex coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1}\psi_{n+1} + a_n\psi_{n-1} &= \lambda\psi_n, \\ a_{n+T} &= a_n. \end{aligned}$$

We confine ourselves to the case of an odd period T , $T = 2N + 1$. In an even case the spectral curves have other geometry of symmetries; this fact leads to significant differences and additional difficulties in the appropriate theory (see [9]).

Consider Bloch eigenfunctions ψ such that $\psi_{n+T} = \rho\psi_n$ and $\psi_0 = 1$. Floquet multiplier ρ is determined by the equation

$$\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} = \Delta(\lambda), \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta(\lambda)$ is the trace of the monodromy matrix (see [8]), $\Delta(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $2N + 1$:

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^N (-1)^i I_i \lambda^{2N+1-2i}, \quad (4)$$

where I_i is defined as follows.

Let $\hat{T} = \{0, \dots, T-1\}$. A subset $I \subset \hat{T}$ is called totally disconnected if $\forall i_1, i_2 \in I \quad i_1 - i_2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{T}$. Then $I_0 = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{T-1} a_i \right)^{-1}$,

$$I_i = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{T-1} a_i \right)^{-1} \times \sum_{\substack{|I|=i, I \text{ is totally disconnected,} \\ I=(j_1, \dots, j_i)}} a_{j_1}^2 \dots a_{j_i}^2 \quad \text{where } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

In particular, I_N has the following form:

$$I_N = I_0 \sum_{k=0}^{2N} a_k^2 a_{k+2}^2 a_{k+4}^2 \dots a_{k+2N-2}^2$$

(where all indices are mod $2N + 1$).

Consider a spectral curve Γ :

$$y^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} - 1 \right), \quad y = \rho - \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2}.$$

If Γ is nonsingular then Bloch function ψ_n is a meromorphic function on Γ . A set of such operators that Γ is nonsingular is a domain U , open in Zariski topology.

A divisor $\mathcal{D}$ of poles of ψ_n does not depend on n and it is invariant under an involution $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\sigma(y, \lambda) = (-y, -\lambda)$. At “infinities” P_+ and $P_- : y \approx \pm \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2}, \lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the function ψ_n has a pole and a zero of order n respectively. These properties define the function ψ_n by a given divisor $\mathcal{D}$ and curve Γ uniquely (see explicit formulae in terms of Θ -functions of genus N in [9]).

Note that the coordinates λ_i of the poles of ψ_n have a natural spectral sense: they are eigenvalues of a spectral problem with zero boundary conditions: $\psi_0 = \psi_T = 0$.

Consider a variety $B^{N+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, consisting of such $I_0, \dots, I_N$, that the corresponding curve Γ is nonsingular, i.e. such that the polynomial $\frac{\Delta^2(\lambda)}{B^{N+1}} - 1$ has no multiple root. There exists a natural bundle $E^{2N+1} \xrightarrow{F} B^{N+1}$ where the fiber $F \subset S^{2N}\Gamma$ is a space of divisors $\mathcal{D}$ on Γ consisting of $2N$ points such that $\sigma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$. Then E^{2N+1} coincides with U , i.e. E^{2N+1} is a space of periodic operators of the form (1) of period $T = 2N + 1$, such that the corresponding spectral curve is nonsingular.

There are two remarkable compatible Poisson brackets on the space of operators (1): quadratic

$$\{c_i, c_j\}_1 = c_i c_j (\delta_{i+1, j} - \delta_{j+1, i}) \quad (5)$$

and cubic

$$\{c_i, c_j\}_2 = c_i c_j (c_i + c_j) (\delta_{i+1, j} - \delta_{j+1, i}) + c_i c_{i+1} c_{i+2} \delta_{i+2, j} - c_i c_{i-1} c_{i-2} \delta_{i-2, j} \quad (6)$$

(see [10]).

Both brackets are degenerate; it is easy to prove that the function I_0 is in involution with any function on the space of operators of the form (1) with respect to the first Poisson bracket (i.e. I_0 belongs to an annihilator of the first bracket). An annihilator of the second bracket is generated by the function I_N (see [11]).

It was proved in [11] that coordinates of the poles $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N, -\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_N$ are in involution with respect to both brackets. Also in [11] were found variables canonically conjugate to $q_1 = \lambda_1, \dots, q_N = \lambda_N$. In the case of the first bracket it is $p_k = \frac{2 \ln \rho_k}{\lambda_k}$, where $\rho_k = \rho(\lambda_k)$ is the corresponding Floquet multiplier (3).

This result can be treated as a corresponding analogue of Flaschka-McLaughlin theorem [1].

Note that a choice of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ is not unique; one can always change λ_k to $-\lambda_k$. Under this transformation p_k also changes:

$$p_k \rightarrow -p_k + \frac{C}{\lambda_k},$$

this transformation does not change the commutative relations.

In the case of the second bracket the same result is true for $p_k = \frac{2 \ln \rho_k}{\lambda_k^3}$ (see [11]).

Analogously to the paper [2] let us introduce algebro-geometric Poisson brackets on the space E^{2N+1} .

Such a bracket is defined by a function $A(I_0, \dots, I_N)$, which is an annihilator of this Poisson bracket, and 1-form $Q(\Gamma, \lambda) d\lambda$ on spectral curves

Γ in such a way that the canonically 1-form pdq on a symplectic sheet $A(I_0, \dots, I_N) = \text{const}$ has the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N Q(\Gamma, \lambda_i) d\lambda_i.$$

Suppose the function Q is meromorphic (modulo a function of the annulator and λ) in a neighborhood of one of “infinities”, for example, P_- (compare with [2]). Also suppose Q satisfies the following property: $Qd\lambda - \sigma^*(Qd\lambda)$ depends only on λ and the annulator. This means that the corresponding 2-form $\omega = d\alpha$ on a symplectic sheet $A = \text{const}$ is σ -invariant.

Clearly, both brackets (5) and (6) satisfy described properties; therefore they are algebro-geometric. For the first bracket $A = I_0, Q = \frac{2 \ln \rho(\lambda)}{\lambda}$, for the second bracket $A = I_N, Q = \frac{2 \ln \rho(\lambda)}{\lambda^3}$.

Consider the Volterra lattice (2):

$$\dot{c}_i = c_i(c_{i+1} - c_{i-1}), \quad c_i = a_i^2.$$

It is well known that it is a hamiltonian system with respect to the bracket $\{, \}_1$ and the hamiltonian $H = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} c_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} a_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathcal{L}^2$ where

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & a_{2N+1} \\ a_1 & 0 & a_2 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{2N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & a_{2N-1} & 0 & a_{2N} \\ a_{2N+1} & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{2N} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a matrix in well-known Lax representation for the Volterra lattice. The corresponding integrals $J_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} \ln a_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} \ln c_i$, $J_k = \frac{\text{tr} \mathcal{L}^{2k}}{2k}, k = 1, \dots, N$ are in involution with respect to both Poisson brackets (5) and (6). For example, it follows from the Lenard-Magri scheme. Indeed, for an arbitrary function f relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{I_0, f\}_1 &= 0, \\ \{I_k, f\}_2 &= -\{I_{k+1}, f\}_1, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ \{I_N, f\}_2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

follow from the theorem 3 of the paper [11]. We can rewrite these relations in terms of the generating function $\Delta(\lambda)$:

$$\lambda^2 \{\Delta(\lambda), f\}_2 = \{\Delta(\lambda), f\}_1.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda^2 \{\ln \Delta(\lambda), f\}_2 = \{\ln \Delta(\lambda), f\}_1.$$

It is sufficient to apply the next claim to complete the proof.

CLAIM. There exists following expansion:

$$\ln \Delta(\lambda) = (2N+1) \ln \lambda - J_0 - \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda^{-2k} J_k \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-1}}. \quad (7)$$

PROOF. Let $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, 2N + 1$, be eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}$. It is clear that they are roots of the equation $\Delta(\lambda) = 2$. Let

$$s_k = \sum_i \lambda_i^k, \quad \sigma_k = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k},$$

i.e. $\{s_k\}$ and $\{\sigma_k\}$ are standard bases in the space of symmetrical polynomials in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2N+1}$. Then

$$J_k = \frac{1}{2k} s_{2k}, \quad \sigma_{2k} = (-1)^k \frac{I_k}{I_0}, \quad \sigma_{2k-1} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

With the help of the standard formula connecting s_k and σ_k we obtain

$$J_k = \sum (-1)^{j_2+j_4+\dots} \frac{(j_1 + \dots + j_N - 1)!}{j_1! \dots j_N!} \left(\frac{I_1}{I_0} \right)^{j_1} \dots \left(\frac{I_N}{I_0} \right)^{j_N}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N,$$

where we sum over all non-negative entire numbers $j_1, \dots, j_N$, such that $j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + Nj_N = k$. On the other hand

$$\ln \Delta(\lambda) = \ln I_0 + (2N + 1) \ln \lambda + \ln \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^i \frac{I_i}{I_0} \lambda^{-2i} \right).$$

It is sufficient to use the expansion of logarithm and the formula of J_k to complete the proof. $\square$

Let us define the higher Volterra flows by the formulae

$$\dot{c}_i = \{c_i, J_k\}_1 = \{c_i, J_{k-1}\}_2, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

It follows easily that these flows are commuting. A distinctive property of the integrals J_i (for example, in comparison with $I_0, \dots, I_N$) is localization of the correspondent hamiltonian flows: the right-hand side of the equations for $\dot{c}_i$ of k -th Volterra flow depends only on c_j with $j \in [i - k, i + k]$.

DEFINITION (compare with [2]). An algebro-geometric Poisson bracket is called compatible with the higher Volterra flows if all these flows are hamiltonian with respect to this bracket.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM. a) If an algebro-geometric Poisson bracket is compatible with the higher Volterra flows then modulo terms with coefficients depending on annulator there exists the following expansion at P_-

$$Q(\Gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^N 2h_k \lambda^{-2k-1} \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-2}},$$

where h_k is the hamiltonian of the k -th Volterra flow.

b) An algebro-geometric Poisson bracket is compatible with the higher Volterra flows if and only if derivatives of $Q(\Gamma, \lambda)d\lambda$ along basis vector fields tangent to the level surface of the annulator make up a basis in the space of σ -invariant holomorphic differentials on Γ .

REMARK. We can replace P_+ by P_- ; this changes signs in the expansions of Q .

First let us prove the following lemma.

LEMMA. There exists the following expansion at P_- :

$$\ln \rho(\lambda) = -(2N+1) \ln \lambda + J_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda^{-2k} J_k \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-1}}. \quad (8)$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2N+1} (\ln \rho(\lambda) + \ln \Delta(\lambda)) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2N+1} \ln \left(\Delta(\lambda) \left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \right)^2 - 1} \right) \right) = \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2N+1} \left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \right)^2 - 1}} - 1 \right) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\lambda^{2N+1}}{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \right)^2 - 1} \right)^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to use (7) to complete the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Consider an algebro-geometric Poisson bracket which is compatible with the higher Volterra flows. Let t_k be a time corresponding to the flow generated by h_k , let S be an action, $S = \int_{\lambda_{1,0}, \dots, \lambda_{N,0}}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N} \sum_{i=1}^N Q(\lambda_i) d\lambda_i$. It is well known that $\frac{d}{dt_k} \frac{\partial S}{\partial h_j} = \delta_{jk}$, therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial Q}{\partial h_j}(\lambda_i) \frac{d}{dt_k} \lambda_i = \delta_{jk}. \quad (9)$$

Let $A_i^j = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial h_j}(\lambda_i)$, $B_k^i = \frac{d}{dt_k} \lambda_i$; then (9) is the matrix equality $AB = E$. Note that B does not depend on the bracket: this is only the matrix of derivatives with respect to the flows. It follows from this fact and the equality $A = B^{-1}$ that A does not also depend on the bracket. Therefore for any bracket $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial h_j}(\lambda) = \frac{\partial \hat{Q}}{\partial h_j}(\lambda)$ where $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{h}_j = J_k$ correspond to the bracket (5). It follows from (8) that $\hat{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^N 2\hat{h}_i \lambda^{-2i-1} \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-2}}$. Therefore $\frac{\partial Q d\lambda}{\partial h_j}(\lambda) = \frac{\partial \hat{Q} d\lambda}{\partial h_j}(\lambda) = 2\lambda^{-2j-1} d\lambda \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-2}}$, this leads to a).

It is easy to prove that the derivatives $\frac{\partial \hat{Q}}{\partial I_j} |_{I_0 = \text{const}} = \frac{\lambda^{2N-2j} d\lambda}{y}$ form a basis in the space of σ -invariant holomorphic differentials on Γ .

To complete the proof of b) we must prove that if the derivatives of $Q(\Gamma, \lambda) d\lambda$ along basis vector fields tangent to the level surface of the annulator make up a basis in the space of σ -invariant holomorphic differentials on Γ then the correspondent algebro-geometric Poisson bracket is compatible with the higher Volterra flows. Consider the expansion of $Q(\Gamma, \lambda) d\lambda$ at P_- :

$$Q(\Gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{k=-M}^{\infty} 2\xi_k(\Gamma) \lambda^{-(2k+1)}$$

(modulo annulator). The derivatives of $Q(\Gamma, \lambda) d\lambda$ are holomorphic, therefore, all $\xi_k(\Gamma)$ with $k \leq 0$ are in the annulator and the functions $\xi_k(\Gamma) = \xi_k(I_0, \dots, I_N)$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, where $A(I_0, \dots, I_N)$ is fixed, are functionally independent because these derivatives make up the basis in the space

of holomorphic σ -invariant forms. Let us choose them as hamiltonians $h_k = \xi_k(\Gamma)$, therefore using (9) we obtain as the correspondent hamiltonian flows the higher Volterra flows. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let us illustrate this theorem on the example of the cubic bracket. In this case $A = I_N$, $Q = \frac{2 \ln \rho(\lambda)}{\lambda^3}$. Consider the expansion at P_- :

$$Q(\Gamma, \lambda) = \frac{2 \ln \rho(\lambda)}{\lambda^3} = \frac{2}{\lambda^3} \left(-(2N+1) \ln \lambda + J_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda^{-2k} J_k \right) + \dots$$

The function $-\frac{2}{\lambda^3}(2N+1) \ln \lambda$ belongs to annulator, therefore

$$Q(\Gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \lambda^{-2k-3} 2J_k \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-2}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda^{-2k-1} 2J_{k-1} \pmod{\lambda^{-2N-2}}$$

modulo terms with coefficients from annulator. But J_{k-1} is the hamiltonian h_k of the k -th Volterra flow with respect to the cubic bracket, i.e. we obtain explicitly the a) statement of the theorem.

Symplectic sheets are determined by the condition $I_N = \text{const}$. As basis tangent vector fields we can use $\frac{\partial}{\partial I_k}$, $k = 0 \dots, N-1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial I_k} d\lambda|_{I_N=\text{const}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial I_k} \frac{2 \ln \rho(\lambda)}{\lambda^3} d\lambda|_{I_N=\text{const}} = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial I_k} \frac{2}{\lambda^3} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \right)^2 - 1} \right) d\lambda|_{I_N=\text{const}} = \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda^3} \frac{1}{\pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \right)^2 - 1}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Delta(\lambda)}{\partial I_k} d\lambda|_{I_N=\text{const}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \frac{1}{\pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{2} \right)^2 - 1}} (-1)^k \lambda^{2N+1-2k} d\lambda = (-1)^k \frac{\lambda^{2(N-k)-2}}{y} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where the sign plus or minus depends on the sheet, $k = 0, \dots, N-1$. Clearly, we obtain the standard basis in the space of holomorphic σ -invariant differentials, i.e. we obtain explicitly the b) statement of the theorem.

Addendum¹

We would like to mention that nowadays there exists a universal approach to the Hamiltonian theory of integrable systems based on Lax representations. It has been developed by I.M.Krichever and D.H.Phong in the fundamental papers [12, 13]. It would be interesting to investigate what it gives for the Volterra system.

¹added to the electronic version 24 november 2000.

References

- [1] FLASCHKA H., McLAUGHLIN D. W. Canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg - de Vries equation and the Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions // Prog. Theor. Phys. 1976. V.55. N. 2. P.438-456.
- [2] VESELOV A. P., NOVIKOV S. P. Poisson brackets and complex tori. // Trudy MIAN. 1984. V. 165. P. 49-61. (in Russian)
- [3] NOVIKOV S.P. Action-angle variables and Algebraic Geometry // Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Suppl.n.2 al vol.126 (1992), P.139-150.
- [4] MANAKOV S. V. On completely integrability and stochastization in discrete dynamical systems // Zh. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz. 1974. V.67. N. 2. P. 543-555. (in Russian)
- [5] Theory of solitons. Inverse scattering method. Ed. Novikov S. P. Moscow, Naouka, 1980.(in Russian)
- [6] SKLYANIN E. K. Separation of variables. New trends. // Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl., 1995, V. 118, P. 321-354.
- [7] BOBENKO A. I., REYMAN A. G. SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY M. A. The Kowalewski top 99 years later: a Lax pair, generalizations and explicit solutions // Comm. Math. Phys. 1989. V. 122. N. 2. P. 321-354.
- [8] DUBROVIN B. A., KRICHEVER I. M., NOVIKOV S. P. Integrable systems I // In "Contemporary problems of mathematics. Fundamental trends." V.4. oscow, VINITI, 1985. P. 179-285. (in Russian)
- [9] VESELOV A. P. Integrable systems with discrete time and difference operators. // Funkcional. Analiz i Pril. 1988. V.22. N. 2. P. 1-13. (in Russian)
- [10] FADEEV L. D., TAKHTAJAN L. A. Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [11] PENSKOÏ A. V. Canonically conjugate variables for the Volterra system with periodic boundary conditions // Mat. Zametki. 1998, V. 64, N 1, P. 115-128. (in Russian)

References to the Addendum

- [12] Krichever I.M and Phong D.H., On the integrable geometry of soliton equations and N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories, J. Differential Geometry 1997, V. 45, N. 2, P. 349-389.
- [13] Krichever I.M. and Phong D.H., Symplectic forms in the theory of solitons. In *Surveys in Differential Geometry: Integral Systems*, v.4 (1999), International Press, Boston, 1999; preprint hep-th/9708170.